

Two classes of ternary codes and their weight distributions

Cunsheng Ding*, Torleiv Kløve† and Francesco Sica‡

Abstract

In this paper we describe two classes of ternary codes, determine their minimum weight and weight distribution, and prove their properties. We also present four classes of 1-designs that are based on the classes of ternary codes.

Keywords — Group character codes, ternary codes, designs.

1 Introduction

Ternary codes have been studied by many authors, see, for example, Bogdanova and Boukliev [2], Hamada, Hellesteth and Ytrehus [5], Hill and Newton [6, 7], van Eupen [13, 14, 15], and van Eupen and van Lint [16]. Much of the study was concentrated on ternary codes of small dimensions.

A class of $[2^n, \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i}, 2^{n-r}]$ group character codes $C_q(r, n)$ over $GF(q)$, where q is odd, is described and analyzed by Ding, Kohel and Ling [3]. This class of codes contains the ternary codes $C_3(1, n)$. In this paper, we describe a new class of $[2^n, n + 1]$ ternary codes and a class of $[2^n, n + 2]$ ternary codes, and determine their weight distributions. The supports of the minimum weight codewords of these codes give 1-designs under certain conditions. The supports of all codewords of some other weight also give 1-designs. As byproducts we present here four classes of 1-designs that are based on the ternary codes.

*C. Ding is with the Department of Computer Science, National University of Singapore, Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119260. dingcs@comp.nus.edu.sg

†T. Kløve is with the Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, HIB, N-5020 Bergen, Norway. torleiv@ii.uib.no

‡F. Sica is with the Department of Computer Science, National University of Singapore, Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119260. sica@comp.nus.edu.sg

2 The class of ternary codes $C_3(r, n)$

Note that $(GF(2)^n, +)$ is an additive Abelian group of exponent 2 and order $N = 2^n$, with $\mathbf{0}$ as the identity element. From now on we assume that $n \geq 2$. Let M denote the multiplicative group of characters from $GF(2)^n$ to $GF(3)^*$. The group M is isomorphic non-canonically to $GF(2)^n$ [12, Chapter VI]. In particular we have $|M| = |GF(2)^n| = N = 2^n$. The set $GF(2)^n$ may be identified with the set of integers $\{i : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\}$: the element $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$ of $GF(2)^n$ is identified with $i = i_0 + i_1 2 + \dots + i_{n-1} 2^{n-1}$, where each i_j is 0 or 1. We also say that $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$ is the binary representation of i . We define

$$f_i(y) = (-1)^{i_0 y_0 + i_1 y_1 + \dots + i_{n-1} y_{n-1}}, \quad (1)$$

where $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in GF(2)^n$, and $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$ is the binary representation of i . It is easy to check that, for all i with $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, this gives all the 2^n characters from $GF(2)^n$ to $GF(3)^*$ with f_0 as the trivial character, so $M = \{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{2^n-1}\}$. Since we identify i and y with their respective binary representation, we have $f_i(y) = f_y(i)$. For any subset X of $GF(2)^n$, the group character code C_X over $GF(3)$ described by Ding, Kohel and Ling [3] is:

$$C_X = \left\{ (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1}) \in GF(3)^N : \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_i f_i(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X \right\}.$$

Let $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}\}$ be a subset of $GF(2)^n$ and let X^c be the complement of X in $GF(2)^n$, indexed such that $GF(2)^n = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\}$.

Proposition 1 [3] *Let X be as above. For $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$, let \mathbf{v}_i denote the vector*

$$(f_0(x_i), f_1(x_i), \dots, f_{N-1}(x_i)).$$

Then the set $\{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{N-1}\}$ is linearly independent. In particular,

$$H = \left[f_{j-1}(x_{i-1}) \right]_{1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq N}$$

has rank t and is a parity check matrix of C_X ,

$$G = \left[f_{j-1}(x_{t-1+i}) \right]_{1 \leq i \leq N-t, 1 \leq j \leq N}$$

has rank $N - t$ and is a generator matrix for C_X , so C_X is an $[N, N - t]$ linear code over $GF(3)$. Moreover, H is a generator matrix for C_{X^c} and $C_X \oplus C_{X^c} = GF(3)^N$.

The Hamming weight of a vector \mathbf{a} of $GF(2)^n$, denoted $\text{wt}(\mathbf{a})$, is defined to be the number of its nonzero coordinates. For $-1 \leq r \leq n$, let $X(r, n) = \{\mathbf{a} \in GF(2)^n : \text{wt}(\mathbf{a}) > r\}$, and let $C_3(r, n)$ denote the code $C_{X(r, n)}$ over $GF(3)$. For a word $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_{2^n-1})$ in $GF(3)^{2^n}$, let the support of \mathbf{c} be defined as

$$\text{Supp}(\mathbf{c}) = \{i : 0 \leq i < 2^n, \text{ and } c_i \neq 0\}.$$

By convention we define the minimum distance of the zero code to be ∞ , which we represent by any integer larger than the block length of the code.

Proposition 2 [3] *The following properties of the codes $C_3(r, n)$ are known:*

- (A) $C_3(r, n)$ is a $[2^n, \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{n}{j}, 2^{n-r}]$ ternary code.
- (B) The minimum nonzero weight codewords generate $C_3(r, n)$.
- (C) The dual code $C_3(r, n)^\perp$ is equivalent to $C_3(n - r - 1, n)$.

In the sequel we define $\mathbf{v}_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in GF(3)^n$ and

$$\mathbf{v}_i = (f_0(\mathbf{e}_i), f_1(\mathbf{e}_i), \dots, f_{N-1}(\mathbf{e}_i))$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where \mathbf{e}_i is the vector of $GF(2)^n$ whose i th coordinate is 1 and other coordinates are all zero.

Proposition 3 [4] *For any integer $1 \leq m \leq n + 1$, in the code $C_3(1, n)$ there are $\binom{n+1}{m} 2^m$ codewords of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \mathbf{v}_{i_j}$ which have the same Hamming weight*

$$w(m) := 2^{n-m+1} \frac{2^m - (-1)^m}{3}, \quad (2)$$

where all $a_j \in GF(3)^*$, and $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_{m-1} \leq n$. The n weights $w(m)$ in (2) are pairwise distinct and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} w(2) < w(4) < w(6) < \dots < w(2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) < w(2\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor + 1) < \\ < w(2\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - 1) < \dots < w(5) < w(3) < w(1). \end{aligned}$$

For a ternary $[N, K]$ code C , let $A_i = A_i(C)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, be its weight distribution and let

$$A_C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N A_i(C) x^i.$$

be its weight distribution function. Then $A_C(x)$ and $A_{C^\perp}(x)$ are related by the MacWilliams identity (see e.g. [11, p. 88])

$$A_{C^\perp}(x) = \frac{1}{3^K} \sum_{i=0}^N A_i(C) (1-x)^i (1+2x)^{N-i} = \frac{1}{3^K} (1+2x)^N A_C\left(\frac{1-x}{1+2x}\right). \quad (3)$$

From Proposition 3 we get

$$A_{C_3(1,n)}(x) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{m} 2^m x^{w(m)}.$$

Combining this with (3) we get $A_{C_3(1,n)^\perp}(x)$. The explicit expressions for $A_i(C_3(1,n)^\perp)$ are quite complicated in general. However, we get $A_i(C_3(1,n)^\perp) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ (as we should since the code has minimum distance 4 by Proposition 3) and

$$A_4(C_3(1,n)^\perp) = \frac{6^n - 2 \cdot 4^n + 2^n}{4}. \quad (4)$$

In the rest of this section, we prove some auxiliary results for later sections and present a class of new 1-designs. The following lemma is a well-known result, known as the orthogonality relations in character theory [12, Chapter VI, Proposition 4].

Lemma 4 *Let A' be a finite additive Abelian group of order N' and let M' be the group of characters of A' . For characters f, g in M' and elements x, y in A' , we have:*

1. $\sum_{x \in A'} f(x)g(x) = \begin{cases} N' & \text{if } f = g^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{if } f \neq g^{-1}. \end{cases}$
2. $\sum_{f \in M'} f(x)f(y) = \begin{cases} N' & \text{if } x = -y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq -y. \end{cases}$

Define \mathbf{e}_0 to be the zero vector of $GF(2)^n$. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, \mathbf{e}_i is defined as before. Let $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{n+2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{2^n-1}$ denote the elements of $GF(2)^n \setminus \{\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ with any order.

Define

$$\mathbf{v}_i = (f_0(\mathbf{e}_i), f_1(\mathbf{e}_i), \dots, f_{N-1}(\mathbf{e}_i))$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$. By Lemma 4, the vectors $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$ are linearly independent over $GF(3)$. Take any $n+1$ vectors $\mathbf{v}_{j_0}, \mathbf{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{j_n}$, where $0 \leq j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq 2^n - 1$, we use T_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n} to denote the ternary code generated by $\mathbf{v}_{j_0}, \mathbf{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{j_n}$.

Proposition 5 *If $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n \leq 2^n - 1$ and $\mathbf{e}_{j_1}, \mathbf{e}_{j_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j_n}$ are linearly independent, then T_{0, j_1, \dots, j_n} is equivalent to $C_3(1, n)$ and has thus the same parameters and weight distribution as $C_3(1, n)$. That is, for any integer $1 \leq m \leq n+1$, in the code $T_{0, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n}$ there are $\binom{n+1}{m} 2^m$ codewords of the form $\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_{i_l}}$ which have the same Hamming weight $w(m)$, where $1 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_{m-1} \leq n$.*

Proof: Note that $C_3(1, n) = T_{0,1,\dots,n}$. To prove the equivalence, we will show that by some column permutations a generator matrix of T_{0,j_1,\dots,j_n} gives a generator matrix of $T_{0,1,\dots,n}$.

Consider the following matrices

$$M(j_1, \dots, j_n) := [\mathbf{e}_l \mathbf{e}_{j_i}]_{1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq l \leq 2^n - 1}$$

and

$$G(j_1, \dots, j_n) := [(-1)^{\mathbf{e}_l \mathbf{e}_{j_i}}]_{0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq l \leq 2^n - 1},$$

where $e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, \dots, e_{j_n}$ are linearly independent, and $\mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_1}$ denotes the standard inner product. Since $\mathbf{e}_{j_1}, \mathbf{e}_{j_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j_n}$ are linearly independent over $GF(2)$, every vector of $GF(2)^n$ appears exactly once as column vectors of the matrix $M(j_1, \dots, j_n)$. Hence by some column permutations, $M(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ can be rearranged into $M(1, \dots, n)$. Therefore the generate matrix $G(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ of T_{0,j_1,\dots,j_n} can be rearranged into the generator matrix $G(1, \dots, n)$ of $T_{0,1,\dots,n}$ by the same column permutations. This proves the equivalence. \square

Example 1 Consider the case $n = 3$. We take $\mathbf{e}_{j_1} = (1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_{j_2} = (0, 1, 0)$, and $\mathbf{e}_{j_3} = (1, 1, 1)$. The three vectors are linearly independent. Then the code T_{0,j_1,j_2,j_3} has parameters $[8, 4, 4]$ and generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The weight enumerator of this code is $1 + 24x^4 + 16x^5 + 32x^6 + 8x^8$. This code is one of the best possible codes of this length and dimension.

Remark: The condition that $\mathbf{e}_{j_1}, \mathbf{e}_{j_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j_n}$ are linearly independent in Proposition 5 is necessary to ensure that the minimum weight of the code T_{0,j_1,\dots,j_n} is 2^{n-1} . For example, in the case $n = 3$ if we take $\mathbf{e}_{j_1} = (0, 1, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_{j_2} = (0, 0, 1)$, and $\mathbf{e}_{j_3} = (0, 1, 1)$. The three vectors are linearly dependent. Then the code T_{0,j_1,j_2,j_3} has parameters $[8, 4, 2]$ and generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The weight enumerator of this code is $1 + 8x^2 + 24x^4 + 32x^6 + 16x^8$. So the minimum distance is less than 2^{n-1} . \square

Proposition 6 *The set of supports of the minimum nonzero weight codewords of T_{0,j_1,j_2,\dots,j_n} in Proposition 5 is a $1-(2^n, 2^{n-1}, n(n+1)/2)$ design.*

Proof: This can be proved similarly as Corollary 17 in [3]. \square

It is interesting to note that the code T_{0,j_1,j_2,\dots,j_n} in Proposition 5 has only one odd weight $w(n+1)$. Only codewords of form $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i$ have this odd weight, where each $a_i \neq 0$. We now prove that the supports of these codewords give 1-designs.

First we quote an old result of C. Ramus from 1834 which will be needed in the proof and also later.

Lemma 7 [10, p. 70] *Let m and μ be positive integers and $0 \leq i < \mu$. Then*

$$\Delta_{\mu,i}(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \equiv i \pmod{\mu}}} \binom{m}{j} = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} \left(2 \cos \frac{l\pi}{\mu} \right)^m \cos \frac{l(m-2i)\pi}{\mu}.$$

Proposition 8 *The set of supports of all the codewords $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i$, where each $a_i \neq 0$, in the code T_{0,j_1,j_2,\dots,j_n} of Proposition 5, is a $1-(2^n, (2^{n+1} - (-1)^{n+1})/3, \lambda)$ design, where*

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{n+1} + (-1)^{n/3} 2}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{2^{n+1} - (-1)^{(n-1)/3}}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{2^{n+1} + (-1)^{(n-2)/3}}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: A codeword covers another one if and only if the set of supports of the former contains that of the latter. We first prove that a codeword $\mathbf{x} := \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i$ covers another one $\mathbf{y} := \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \mathbf{v}_i$ if and only if one is a nonzero multiple of the other, where each a_i and b_i are nonzero. We need only to prove one direction of this claim as the other is obvious.

Assume now that \mathbf{x} covers \mathbf{y} . Then \mathbf{x} covers both $\mathbf{x} \pm \mathbf{y}$. Let h denote the Hamming distance between (a_0, \dots, a_n) and (b_0, \dots, b_n) . If $h = n+1$ or $h = 0$, then \mathbf{x} is a multiple of \mathbf{y} . Suppose that $h \neq 0$ and $h \neq n+1$. Then $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ is a linear combination of h vectors \mathbf{v}_i and $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ is a linear combination of $n-h$ vectors \mathbf{v}_i . If n is odd, one of h and $n-h$ is odd. If h is odd, by Proposition 5 the weight of $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ is larger than that of \mathbf{x} , so \mathbf{x} cannot cover $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$. If h is even, then \mathbf{x} cannot cover $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$. If n is even, similarly we can prove that \mathbf{x} cannot cover at least one of $\mathbf{x} \pm \mathbf{y}$. This leads to a contradiction. So h must be equal to one of 0 and $n+1$ and \mathbf{x} must be a nonzero multiple of \mathbf{y} .

Hence all the codewords of the form $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i$, where $a_0 = 1$, give 2^n different supports. The weight of such a codeword is $w(n+1) = \frac{2^{n+1} - (-1)^{n+1}}{3}$. We now

consider the function $F_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(x) := 1 + d_1x_1 + d_2x_2 + \dots + d_nx_n$ from $(GF(3)^*)^n$ to $GF(3)$, where each d_i is a nonzero element of $GF(3)$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The weight of $F_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(x)$ is defined to be the number of nonzero elements of $GF(3)$ this function takes on when x ranges over all elements of $(GF(3)^*)^n$. Since each d_i and x_i can be written in the form $(-1)^y$, where $y \in GF(2)$, the weight of $F_{d_1, \dots, d_n}(x)$ does not depend on d_i . It then follows from the definition of these \mathbf{v}_i that the set of supports of all these codewords is a $1-(2^n, w(n+1), \lambda)$ design. It remains to determine λ . To this end, we need to consider the weight of $F_{1, \dots, 1}(x)$. It is seen that the weight of this function is

$$2^n - |\{z \in GF(2)^n : \text{wt}(z) \equiv 2n - 1 \pmod{3}\}| = 2^n - \Delta_{3,i}(n)$$

where $i \equiv 2n - 1 \pmod{3}$. Then the λ , which is the weight of the function $F_{1, \dots, 1}(x)$, is given by Lemma 7. This completes the proof of this proposition. \square

3 Another class of $[2^n, n+1]$ ternary codes

Let \mathbf{e}_{2^n-1} denote the all-one vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of $GF(2)^n$, and let

$$\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} = (f_0(\mathbf{e}_{2^n-1}), f_1(\mathbf{e}_{2^n-1}), \dots, f_{2^n-1}(\mathbf{e}_{2^n-1})).$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ be the n vectors as before. We use $T_{1,2, \dots, n, 2^n-1}$ to denote the linear code generated by $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ and \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} . By Lemma 4, $T_{1,2, \dots, n, 2^n-1}$ has dimension $n+1$. We now determine the minimum weight and the weight distribution of this code.

Proposition 9 *The code $T_{1,2, \dots, n, 2^n-1}$ is a $[2^n, n+1, d]$ ternary code, where d is given below.*

If n is even, then the minimum weight d of this code is 2^{n-1} , and the weight distribution in this code is given in Table 1.

weight	frequency	codewords
$w(m)$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$	$\binom{n+1}{m} 2^m$	$\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}$, where $j_l \in \{1, \dots, n, 2^n - 1\}$,
$\frac{2^{n+1}+1}{3}$	2^{n+1}	$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$, $a_i \neq 0$, $a \neq 0$

Table 1: Weight distribution in $T_{1,2, \dots, n, 2^n-1}$ when n is even.

If n is odd, then the minimum weight d of this code is

$$\min \left\{ 2^{n-1}, \left[2^{n+1} - 1 - 3^{(n+1)/2} \right] / 3 \right\},$$

and the weight distribution in this code is given in Table 2.

<i>weight</i>	<i>frequency</i>	<i>codewords</i>
$w(m)$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$	$\binom{n+1}{m} 2^m$	$\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}$, where $j_l \in \{1, \dots, n, 2^n - 1\}$,
$\frac{2^{n+1}-1-(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{3}$	2^n	$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$ where $\text{wt}(\mathbf{h})$ even, $a_i \neq 0$, $a \neq 0$
$\frac{2^{n+1}-1+(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{3}$	2^n	$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$ where $\text{wt}(\mathbf{h})$ odd, $a_i \neq 0$, $a \neq 0$

Table 2: Weight distribution in $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ when n is odd.

Case I

Since \mathbf{u} and $a\mathbf{u}$ have the same Hamming weight if $a \neq 0$, we consider the weight of the following codeword

$$\mathbf{u} := \sum_{l=0}^{m-2} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l} + \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}, \quad (5)$$

where $j_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $m-1 \leq n$, and each $a_l \neq 0$.

Subcase I.1

We consider the vector \mathbf{u} of (5) under the condition that $m-1 < n$. Each $a_l = (-1)^{h_l}$, where $h_l = \{0, 1\}$. We now consider the following matrix

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_0} + h_0 & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_0} + h_0 & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^n-1} \mathbf{e}_{j_0} + h_0 \\ \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + h_1 & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + h_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^n-1} \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + h_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-2}} + h_{m-2} & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-2}} + h_{m-2} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^n-1} \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-2}} + h_{m-2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathbf{e}_{j_0}, \mathbf{e}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-2}}$ are linearly independent, each vector of $GF(2)^{m-1}$ appears exactly $2^{n-(m-1)}$ times as column vectors of L .

Let $j_{m-1}, j_m, \dots, j_{n-1}$ be the elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{m-2}\}$. Consider now the following matrix

$$L_1 := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_0} + h_0 & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_0} + h_0 & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^{n-1}} \mathbf{e}_{j_0} + h_0 \\ \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + h_1 & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + h_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^{n-1}} \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + h_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-2}} + h_{m-2} & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-2}} + h_{m-2} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^{n-1}} \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-2}} + h_{m-2} \\ \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-1}} & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-1}} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^{n-1}} \mathbf{e}_{j_{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_{j_{n-1}} & \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_{j_{n-1}} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{2^{n-1}} \mathbf{e}_{j_{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathbf{e}_{j_0}, \mathbf{e}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{j_{n-1}}$ are linearly independent, each vector of $GF(2)^{m-1}$ appears exactly once as a column vector of L_1 .

Let $\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{2^{m-1}-1}$ be all the vectors of $GF(2)^{m-1}$, and we let $\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{2^{n-(m-1)}-1}$ be all the vectors of $GF(2)^{n-(m-1)}$. By permutations on columns, L_1 can be rearranged into the following matrix L_2 :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_0 & \mathbf{s}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{s}_{2^{m-1}-1} & \cdots & \mathbf{s}_0 & \mathbf{s}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{s}_{2^{m-1}-1} \\ \mathbf{t}_0 & \mathbf{t}_0 & \cdots & \mathbf{t}_0 & \cdots & \mathbf{t}_{2^{n-(m-1)}-1} & \mathbf{t}_{2^{n-(m-1)}-1} & \cdots & \mathbf{t}_{2^{n-(m-1)}-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The weight of the codeword \mathbf{u} in (5) can be determined by looking at the first $m-1$ rows of the matrix L_2 . However, all the vectors \mathbf{s}_i and \mathbf{t}_i are needed to determine the corresponding coordinates of \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} . This is because by definition $f_x(\mathbf{e}_{2^n-1}) = (-1)^{x_0+x_1+\dots+x_{n-1}}$, where $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is the binary representation of the integer x .

Define $r = m \bmod 3$. We use \mathbf{y} to denote one of the vectors \mathbf{s}_i and \mathbf{x} to denote one of the vectors \mathbf{t}_i . Then $(\mathbf{y}^T, \mathbf{x}^T)^T$ ranges over all column vectors of L_2 when \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x} run over all vectors of $GF(2)^{m-1}$ and $GF(2)^{n-(m-1)}$ respectively, where \mathbf{y}^T denotes the transpose of \mathbf{y} . Let w be the Hamming weight of \mathbf{y} . Suppose that \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x} are in the i th column of L_2 , then $(m-1-2w) \bmod 3$ is the corresponding entry of the codeword $\sum_{l=0}^{m-2} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}$, and $(m-1-2w + (-1)^{w+\text{wt}(\mathbf{x})}) \bmod 3$ is the corresponding entry of the codeword $\sum_{l=0}^{m-2} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l} + \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$. It is then seen that Table 3 gives the distribution of the elements $\{r, r-1, r-2\}$ of $GF(3)$ in the codeword \mathbf{u} of (5).

By Table 3, we have the following frequency of appearance of the elements of $GF(3)$ in the codeword \mathbf{u} :

$r \in GF(3)$	frequency
r	$[\Delta_{6,0}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,5}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,2}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,3}(m-1)]2^{n-m}$
$r-1$	$[\Delta_{6,1}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,2}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,4}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,5}(m-1)]2^{n-m}$
$r-2$	$[\Delta_{6,3}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,4}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,0}(m-1) + \Delta_{6,1}(m-1)]2^{n-m}$

(6)

By Lemma 7 and (6), the frequency of appearance of the elements of $GF(3)$ in the codeword \mathbf{u} is given in Table 4.

$w := \text{wt}(\mathbf{y})$	g	entries of \mathbf{u} $\text{wt}(x)$ even	entries of \mathbf{u} $\text{wt}(x)$ odd	frequency
$w \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$	$r - 1$	r	$r - 2$	$2^{n-m} \Delta_{6,0}(m - 1)$
$w \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$	r	$r - 1$	$r - 2$	$2^{n-m} \Delta_{6,1}(m - 1)$
$w \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$	$r - 2$	$r - 1$	r	$2^{n-m} \Delta_{6,2}(m - 1)$
$w \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$	$r - 1$	$r - 2$	r	$2^{n-m} \Delta_{6,3}(m - 1)$
$w \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$	r	$r - 2$	$r - 1$	$2^{n-m} \Delta_{6,4}(m - 1)$
$w \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$	$r - 2$	r	$r - 1$	$2^{n-m} \Delta_{6,5}(m - 1)$

Table 3: Distribution of elements of $GF(3)$ in \mathbf{u} , where $g := (m - 1 - 2w) \bmod 3$.

	r	$r - 1$	$r - 2$
$m - 1 = 3k$	$\frac{2^m + (-1)^k}{3} 2^{n-m}$	$\frac{2^m - (-1)^k 2}{3} 2^{n-m}$	$\frac{2^m + (-1)^k}{3} 2^{n-m}$
$m - 1 = 3k + 1$	$\frac{2^m - (-1)^k}{3} 2^{n-m}$	$\frac{2^m - (-1)^k}{3} 2^{n-m}$	$\frac{2^m + (-1)^k 2}{3} 2^{n-m}$
$m - 1 = 3k + 2$	$\frac{2^m - (-1)^k 2}{3} 2^{n-m}$	$\frac{2^m + (-1)^k}{3} 2^{n-m}$	$\frac{2^m + (-1)^k}{3} 2^{n-m}$

Table 4: The frequency distribution of the elements of $GF(3)$ in \mathbf{u}

With Table 4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{2^{m+1} + (-1)^k 2}{3} 2^{n-m} & \text{if } m - 1 = 3k, \\ \text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{2^{m+1} - (-1)^k 2}{3} 2^{n-m} & \text{if } m - 1 = 3k + 1, \\ \text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{2^{m+1} + (-1)^k 2}{3} 2^{n-m} & \text{if } m - 1 = 3k + 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is then easy to check that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) = w(m)$.

Subcase I.2

We consider the codeword \mathbf{u} of (5) under the condition that $m - 1 = n$. Let $a_l = (-1)^{h_l}$, where $h_l \in \{0, 1\}$. Define $\mathbf{h} = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{m-2})$. To determine the weight of \mathbf{u} , we need the values of some $\Delta_{6,i}(n) + \Delta_{6,j}(n)$ given in Lemma 7. With an argument similar to that in Subcase I.1, we obtain the weight of \mathbf{u} given in Table 5.

Case II

For any codeword

$$\mathbf{u} := \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}, \quad (7)$$

	wt(\mathbf{u}) (wt(\mathbf{h}) even)	wt(\mathbf{u}) (wt(\mathbf{h}) odd)
n even	$\frac{2^{n+1}+1}{3}$	$\frac{2^{n+1}+1}{3}$
n odd	$\frac{2^{n+1}-1-(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{3}$	$\frac{2^{n+1}-1+(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{3}$

Table 5: The weight of \mathbf{u} in Subcase I.2

where $j_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the weight of \mathbf{u} is $w(m)$ as described in Proposition 5.

Summarizing the discussion in the two cases proves the conclusion of this proposition. \square

Lemma 10 *If $n \geq 13$ and n is odd, then*

$$2^{n-1} < \left[2^{n+1} - 1 - 3^{(n+1)/2} \right] / 3.$$

If $n \geq 8$ and n is even, then

$$2^{n-1} < \left[2^{n+2} - 1 - 3^{(n+2)/2} \right] / 6.$$

Proof: The two inequalities can be easily proved by induction on n . \square

Remark: If n is even, then $A_{T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^{n-1}}}(x) = A_{C_3(1,n)}(x)$. In particular, the minimum weight of the code $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^{n-1}}$ is 2^{n-1} and the minimum weight of the dual code is 4.

When n is odd, the minimum weight of the code $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^{n-1}}$ is

$$d = \min \left\{ 2^{n-1}, \left[2^{n+1} - 1 - 3^{(n+1)/2} \right] / 3 \right\} = \begin{cases} < 2^{n-1} & \text{if } n \leq 11, \\ = 2^{n-1} & \text{if } n \geq 13. \end{cases}$$

Using MacWilliams identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^{n-1}}^\perp) &= 0, \\ A_2(T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^{n-1}}^\perp) &= 2^n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the minimum weight of the dual code is 2.

Proposition 11 *If n is even or if $n \geq 13$ is odd, then the minimum weight codewords of $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^{n-1}}$ generate this code.*

Proof: By Proposition 9 and Lemma 10, in both cases the minimum weight codewords are of the form $a\mathbf{v}_i + b\mathbf{v}_j$, where $i < j$. Thus it suffices to prove that $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} + \mathbf{v}_{j_1}$, $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} + \mathbf{v}_{j_2}$, \dots , $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} + \mathbf{v}_{j_n}$, and $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} - \mathbf{v}_{j_n}$ are linearly independent. This can be easily proved as \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} , \mathbf{v}_0 , \mathbf{v}_1 , \dots , \mathbf{v}_n are linearly independent. \square

Proposition 12 *The set of supports of the minimum nonzero weight codewords of $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ is a $1-(2^n, 2^{n-1}, n(n+1)/2)$ design when n is even or $n \geq 13$ is odd.*

Proof: Note that the minimum weight codewords of $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ must be of the form $a\mathbf{v}_i + b\mathbf{v}_j$, where $i \neq j$, $a \neq 0$, and $b \neq 0$, when n is even or $n \geq 13$ is odd. This proposition can then be proved similarly as Corollary 17 in [3]. \square

Example 2 Consider the case $n = 4$. We take $\mathbf{e}_{j_i} = \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The four vectors are linearly independent. Then the code $T_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, 2^4-1}$ has parameters $[16, 5, 8]$ and generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The weight enumerator of this code is $1 + 40x^8 + 80x^{10} + 32x^{11} + 80x^{12} + 10x^{16}$. The best ternary codes of length 16 and dimension 5 have minimum distance 9. So this is almost the best code of these parameters.

4 A class of $[2^n, n + 2]$ ternary codes

Let $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ denote the code generated by \mathbf{v}_0 , \mathbf{v}_1 , \dots , \mathbf{v}_n and \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} . Clearly, it has dimension $n + 2$. The minimum distance and weight distribution of this code is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 13 *The code $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ is a $[2^n, n + 2, d]$ ternary code, where d is given below.*

If n is even, then the minimum weight d of this code is

$$\min \left\{ 2^{n-1}, \frac{1}{6} \left[2^{n+2} - 1 - (3)^{(n+2)/2} \right] \right\}$$

and the weight distribution in this code is given in Table 6.

<i>weight</i>	<i>frequency</i>	<i>codewords</i>
$w(m)$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$	$\binom{n+2}{m} 2^m$	$\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}$, where $j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n, 2^n - 1\}$,
$w(n+1)$	$(n+1)2^{n+1}$	$\sum_{l=0}^n a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}$, $j_0 = 0$, $j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n, 2^n - 1\}$
$\frac{2^{n+1}+1}{3}$	2^{n+1}	$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$
$\frac{2^{n+2}-1+3(-3)^{n/2}}{6}$	2^{n+1}	$\sum_{l=0}^n a_l \mathbf{v}_l + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$
$\frac{2^{n+2}-1-3(-3)^{n/2}}{6}$	2^{n+1}	$\sum_{l=0}^n a_l \mathbf{v}_l + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$

Table 6: Weight distribution in $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ when n is even, where all a_i and a are nonzero.

If n is odd, then the minimum weight d of this code is

$$\min \left\{ 2^{n-1}, (2^{n+1} - 1 - 3^{(n+1)/2})/3 \right\},$$

and the weight distribution in this code is given in Table 7.

<i>weight</i>	<i>frequency</i>	<i>codewords</i>
$w(m)$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$	$\binom{n+2}{m} 2^m$	$\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}$, where $j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n, 2^n - 1\}$,
$w(n+1)$	$(n+1)2^{n+1}$	$\sum_{l=0}^n a_l \mathbf{v}_{j_l}$, $j_0 = 0$, $j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n, 2^n - 1\}$
$\frac{2^{n+1}-1-(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{3}$	2^n	$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$
$\frac{2^{n+1}-1+(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{3}$	2^n	$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$
$\frac{2^{n+2}+1+(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{6}$	2^{n+1}	$\sum_{l=0}^n a_l \mathbf{v}_l + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$
$\frac{2^{n+2}+1-(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{6}$	2^{n+1}	$\sum_{l=0}^n a_l \mathbf{v}_l + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$

Table 7: Weight distribution in $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ when n is odd, where all a_l and a are nonzero.

Proof: Note that $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ contains both $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n}$ and $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ as subcodes. We need only to consider the codewords

$$\mathbf{u} := a_0 \mathbf{v}_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} a_l \mathbf{v}_l + a \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1},$$

where $a_l \neq 0$ and $a \neq 0$. If $m-2 < n$, with an argument similar to Subcase I.1 of Section 3, we can prove that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) = w(m)$. If $m-2 = n$, similarly we can prove the following:

- (1) If n is even, $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})$ takes on $\frac{2^{n+2}-1+3(-3)^{n/2}}{6}$ and $\frac{2^{n+2}-1-3(-3)^{n/2}}{6}$ respectively for 2^{n+1} codewords \mathbf{u} .
- (2) If n is odd, $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})$ takes on $\frac{2^{n+2}+1+(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{6}$ and $\frac{2^{n+2}+1-(-3)^{(n+1)/2}}{6}$ respectively for 2^{n+1} codewords \mathbf{u} .

Combining these two conclusions and Propositions 5 and 9 proves this proposition. \square

Remarks: 1. Combining Proposition 13 and MacWilliams identity we get that the code $(T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1})^\perp$ has minimum weight 4 and

$$A_4((T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1})^\perp) = \begin{cases} (3 \cdot 6^n - 8 \cdot 4^n + 5 \cdot 2^n)/16 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (3 \cdot 6^n - 8 \cdot 4^n + 7 \cdot 2^n)/16 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

2. By Lemma 10, if $n \geq 8$ and n is even or if $n \geq 13$ and n is odd, $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ has minimum distance $d = 2^{n-1}$. Thus the code $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ is better than $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ and $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n}$ except for a few n 's.

Proposition 14 *If $n \geq 8$ is even or if $n \geq 13$ is odd, then the minimum weight codewords of $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ generate this code.*

Proof: The proof of Proposition 11 applies here. \square

Proposition 15 *The set of supports of the minimum nonzero weight codewords of $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ is a $1-(2^n, 2^{n-1}, (n+2)(n+1)/2)$ design when $n \geq 8$ is even or $n \geq 13$ is odd.*

Proof: Note that all the minimum weight codewords of $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ must be of the form $a\mathbf{v}_i + b\mathbf{v}_j$, where $i \neq j$, $a \neq 0$, and $b \neq 0$, when $n \geq 8$ is even or $n \geq 13$ is odd. Then this proposition can be proved similarly as Corollary 17 in [3]. \square

5 Using the codes for error detection

Let C be a ternary $[N, K, d]$ code. The probability of undetected error when the code is used purely for error detection on a ternary symmetric channel is given by

$$P_{\text{ue}}(C, p) = \sum_{i=d}^N A_i(C) \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^i (1-p)^{N-i},$$

where p is the symbol error probability, see e.g. Kløve and Korzhik [9]. In particular,

$$P_{\text{ue}}(C, 2/3) = (3^K - 1)(1/3)^N < 3^{K-N}. \quad (8)$$

The error probability threshold of C , introduced in Kløve [8], is defined by

$$\theta(C) = \max \{p' \in [0, 2/3] \mid P_{\text{ud}}(C, p) \leq P_{\text{ud}}(C, 2/3) \text{ for all } p \in [0, p']\}.$$

A code C is called good for error detection (in the technical sense) if and only if $\theta(C) = 2/3$. However, for practical applications the important things are that $P_{\text{ue}}(C, 2/3)$ is small (that is, $N - K$ is large) and that $\theta(C)$ is above the range of actual values of p . It is therefore of interest to estimate $\theta(C)$. It turns out that the performances on error detection of the codes described in this paper are very similar. Therefore, we will only discuss $C = C_3(1, n)$ in detail. For this code we have $N = 2^n$, $K = n + 1$ and $d = 2^{n-1}$.

Proposition 16 *For all $n \geq 2$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{3} < \theta(C_3(1, n)) < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{n}{2^{n-2}}.$$

Proof: First we note that for fixed $p \in (0, 2/3)$, the expression $(\frac{p}{2(1-p)})^i$ decreases with increasing i . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{ue}}(C, p) &= (1-p)^N \sum_{i=d}^N A_i(C) \left(\frac{p}{2(1-p)}\right)^i \\ &< (1-p)^N \sum_{i=d}^N A_i(C) \left(\frac{p}{2(1-p)}\right)^d \\ &= \left(\frac{p(1-p)}{2}\right)^{N/2} (3^K - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Further, we note that $p(1-p)$ is increasing on the interval $(0, 1/2)$. Hence, if $p \leq 1/3$, then

$$P_{\text{ue}}(C, p) \leq P_{\text{ue}}\left(C, \frac{1}{3}\right) < \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^{N/2} (3^K - 1) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^N (3^K - 1) = P_{\text{ue}}\left(C, \frac{2}{3}\right).$$

Hence

$$\theta(C) > \frac{1}{3}.$$

A direct calculation of $\theta(C)$ for $n \leq 9$ gives the following values:

n	2, 3, 4, 5	6	7	8	9
$\theta(C)$	2/3	0.4369	0.3852	0.3619	0.3427
$1/3 + n/2^{n-3}$	> 2/3	1.0833	0.7708	0.5833	0.3759

In particular, the upper bound is true for $n \leq 9$. To prove the upper bound for $n \geq 10$, we first note that $A_d(C) \geq 3$. Let p be the root in the interval $(0, 1/2)$ of the equation

$$\left(\frac{p(1-p)}{2}\right)^{2^{n-1}} = \frac{3^n}{3^{2^n}},$$

that is

$$p = \frac{3 - \sqrt{9 - 8 \cdot 3^{n/2^{n-1}}}}{6}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{ue}}(C, p) &\geq A_d\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{2^{n-1}} (1-p)^{2^{n-1}} \\ &> 3 \left(\frac{p(1-p)}{2}\right)^{2^{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{3^{n+1}}{3^{2^n}} > P_{\text{ue}}(C, 2/3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\theta(C) < p.$$

Simple calculus shows that

$$\frac{3 - \sqrt{9 - 8x}}{6} < \frac{1}{3} + 4 \log_3(x)$$

for $1 < x < 1.12$. Hence

$$p < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{n}{2^{n-3}}$$

for $n \geq 10$. □

Proposition 16 shows that $C_3(1, n)$ is good for practical error detection (even if it is not “good” in the technical sense). A similar proof shows that also the other codes have a threshold close to $1/3$ (for most n).

Now, consider the dual codes.

Proposition 17 *For all $n \geq 13$ we have*

$$\theta(C_3(1, n)^\perp) < \frac{6}{18^{n/4}}.$$

Proof: We have

$$P_{\text{ue}}(C^\perp, 2/3) = \frac{3^{2^n - n - 1} - 1}{3^{2^n}} < \frac{1}{3^{n+1}}.$$

Let

$$p = \frac{6}{18^{n/4}}.$$

We have $p < 2/3$ for $n \geq 4$. By (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{\text{ue}}(C^\perp, p)}{P_{\text{ue}}(C^\perp, 2/3)} &> A_4(C^\perp) \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^4 (1-p)^{2^n-4} \cdot 3^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{243}{16} \left(1 - 2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right) \left(1 - \frac{6}{18^{n/4}}\right)^{2^n-4} > 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 13$. □

Proposition 17 shows that $C_3(1, n)^\perp$ is not very useful for error detection except possibly for some very moderate values of n . The upper bound on $\theta(C_3(1, n)^\perp)$ can be improved by some factor by the same method, e.g.

$$\theta(C_3(1, n)^\perp) < \frac{3}{18^{n/4}} \text{ for } n \geq 28.$$

However, it is of less interest to determine precise bounds on $\theta(C_3(1, n)^\perp)$ since it is very small in any case.

6 Concluding remarks

The code $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ can not be equivalent to $T_{0,1,\dots,n}$ when n is odd. This is because $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ has only even weights when n is odd, while $T_{0,1,\dots,n}$ has always one odd weight $w(n+1)$. The class of codes $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ described here have the same codeword length, dimension and minimum weight as the first-order binary Reed-Muller codes [1], [8], if and only if n is even. However, their weight distributions are quite different. The first-order Reed-Muller codes are two-weight codes, while $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ has many weights $w(m)$. When $n \geq 13$, the minimum weight of $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ is still 2^{n-1} .

When $n \geq 8$ is even or $n \geq 13$ is odd, the ternary code $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ has length 2^n and minimum weight 2^{n-1} . But its dimension is $n+2$. So $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ is better than the first-order binary Reed-Muller code as the former has one more dimension while they have the same codeword length and minimum weight.

References

- [1] I. F. Blake and R. C. Mullin, *The Mathematical Theory of Coding*. New York: Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] G. T. Bogdanova and I. G. Bouklev, "New linear codes of dimension 5 over $GF(3)$," in *Proc. 4th Int. Workshop on Algebraic and Combinatorial Coding Theory*, 1994, pp. 41–43.

- [3] C. Ding, D. R. Kohel and S. Ling, “Elementary 2-group character codes,” Preprint.
- [4] C. Ding, D. R. Kohel and S. Ling, “Secret sharing with a class of ternary codes,” submitted manuscript, May 1999.
- [5] N. Hamada, T. Helleseth and Ø. Ytrehus, “The nonexistence of $[51, 5, 33; 3]$ -codes,” *Ars Combinatoria*, Vol. 25, pp. 25–32, 1993.
- [6] R. Hill and D. E. Newton, “Some optimal ternary linear codes,” *Ars Combinatoria*, Vol. 25-A, pp. 61–72, 1988.
- [7] R. Hill and D. E. Newton, “Optimal ternary linear codes,” *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography*, Vol. 2, pp. 137–157, 1992.
- [8] T. Kløve, “Reed-Muller codes for error detection, the good, the bad, and the ugly,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. 42, pp. 2265–2272, 1996.
- [9] T. Kløve and V. Korzhik, *Error Detecting Codes, General Theory and their Application in Feedback Communication Systems*. Boston: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1995.
- [10] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Vol. 1, Second ed. Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1973.
- [11] V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman, *Handbook of Coding Theory*, Vol. 1. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1998.
- [12] J.-P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 7. New York: Springer, 1973.
- [13] M. van Eupen, “Five new optimal ternary linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. 40, No. 1, p. 193, 1993.
- [14] M. van Eupen, “Four nonexistence results for ternary linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. 41, No. 3, pp. 800–805, 1995.
- [15] M. van Eupen, “Some new results for ternary linear codes of dimension 5 and 6,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. 41, No. 6, pp. 2048–2051, 1995.
- [16] M. van Eupen and J. H. van Lint, “On the minimum distance of ternary cyclic codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. 39, No. 2, pp. 409–422, 1993.

List of Tables

1	Weight distribution in $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ when n is even.	7
2	Weight distribution in $T_{1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ when n is odd.	8
3	Distribution of elements of $GF(3)$ in \mathbf{u} , where $g := (m - 1 - 2w) \bmod 3$	10
4	The frequency distribution of the elements of $GF(3)$ in \mathbf{u}	10
5	The weight of \mathbf{u} in Subcase I.2	11
6	Weight distribution in $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ when n is even, where all a_i and a are nonzero.	13
7	Weight distribution in $T_{0,1,2,\dots,n,2^n-1}$ when n is odd, where all a_i and a are nonzero.	13